

Secure Computation: Homework 1

Submit in class or by email by **Wednesday March April 2, 2014**.

Prove the correctness of all your answers.

1. Following is a description of a sigma protocol for proving knowledge of an RSA decryption. The public information is n , an RSA modulus, e an RSA exponent, and a value y in Z_n^* . The prover knows x such that $x^e = y \bmod n$. The protocol is the following

1. P chooses a random r in Z_n^* and sends $a = r^e \bmod n$ to V.
 2. V chooses a random bit b and sends it to P.
 3. P computes $c = rx^b \bmod n$ and sends it to V.
 4. V accepts iff $c^e = ac^b$.
- a. Prove that this protocol satisfies the completeness property of sigma protocols.
 - b. Prove that this protocol satisfies the special soundness property of sigma protocols.
 - c. Prove that this protocol satisfies the special honest-verifier ZK property of sigma protocols.
 - d. What is the probability that a prover that does not know x can successfully finish the protocol. How can we reduce the success probability of such a prover by repeating the protocol?

2. This exercise shows that it is possible to construct a commitment scheme from a Σ protocol.

Assume we are given a *hard* relation R with generator G (this generator generates pairs $(x, w) \in R$), and an efficient Σ protocol P .

Assume also that given x , it is easy to decide if there exists w such that $(x, w) \in R$. We denote this easy decision problem as checking if $x \in L_R$. (For example, if R is a relation that contains group elements x and their discrete log to the base g in some group, where g is a generator of the group, this check verifies that x is an element in the group.)

With this set-up, it is possible to build a perfectly (i.e., unconditionally) hiding commitment scheme, which is efficient and allows commitment to many bits:

- **Set-up:** V runs (by itself) the generator G on input 1^k to get $(x, w) \in R$. It sends x to P. P then checks that $x \in L_R$.
- **Commit:** To commit to a t -bit string e , P runs the simulator M on input x, e to get (a, e, z) , and sends the value a to V.
- **Open:** To open the commitment, P sends e, z to V, who checks that (a, e, z) is an accepting conversation (w.r.t. x).

Prove that this scheme is a perfectly hiding commitment scheme with computational binding. (For the hiding part of the proof, note that by the definition of Σ protocols the simulation is perfect, and therefore the first message a generated by the simulation is uncorrelated to the value e .)