

Advanced Topics in Cryptography

Lecture 6: El Gamal. Chosen-ciphertext security, the Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem.

Benny Pinkas
based on slides of Moni Naor

Related papers

- Lecture notes of Moni Naor,
<http://www.cs.ioc.ee/yik/schools/win2004/naor-slides-2.5.ppt>
- Lecture notes of Jonathan Katz,
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/~jkatz/gradcrypto2/NOTES/lecture2.pdf>

To specify security of encryption

- The power of the adversary
 - computational
 - Probabilistic polynomial time machine (PPTM)
 - access to the system
 - Can it change the messages?
- What constitutes a failure of the system
 - What it means to break the system.
 - Reading a message
 - Forging a message?

El Gamal Encryption

- We will show that El Gamal encryption provides semantic security under the DDH assumption.
- Before doing that, let's discuss the DDH assumption.

Discrete Log Problem

- A finite cyclic group G of order n . A generator g .
- DL problem for G to the base g :
 - given $Y \in G$ find $0 \leq a \leq n-1$ such that $Y = g^a$

DL Assumption for group G to the base g :

- No *efficient* algorithm can solve whp the DL problem for $Y = g^x$, with $x \in_{\mathcal{R}} [0..n-1]$
- Very useful group for DL:
 - \mathbf{Z}_P^* . P and Q : Large primes, s.t. $Q \mid P-1$. g is an element of order Q in \mathbf{Z}_P^* . Best known algorithms run in time \sqrt{Q} or subexponential in $\log P$.
- Randomized reduction
 - Given a specific instance generate a random instance: given y generate $Y' = Yg^r$ for $r \in_{\mathcal{R}} [Q]$
 - Therefore worst case is the same as average case

Diffie-Hellman Search Problem

For $a, b \in_R [Q]$

Given $Y = g^a$ and $X = g^b$ find $Z = g^{ab}$.

Assumption - no algorithm can succeed with high probability

No harder than DL - but not much easier.

Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem (DDH)

For for generator g and $a, b \in [Q]$

Given g , $Y=g^a$, $X=g^b$ and Z decide whether $Z = g^{ab}$ or $Z \neq g^{ab}$

Equivalent: is $\log_g Y = \log_X Z$

DDH-Assumption:

- The DDH-Problem is hard in the **worst** case.

Average DDH

For $a, b \in_R [Q]$ and c which is either

- $c = ab$
- $c \in_R [Q]$

Given $Y = g^a$ and $X = g^b$ and $Z = g^c$ decide whether
 $Z = g^{ab}$ or $Z \neq g^{ab}$

DDH-Assumption average case:

- The DDH-Problem is hard for above distribution

Worst to Average case reduction

Theorem: The average case and worst case of the DDH-Assumption are equivalent (solving the DDH problem is no easier on the average case than in the worst case)

Proof:

- Given g^a and g^b and g^c (and P, Q)
- Sample $r, s_1, s_2 \in_R [Q]$
- compute
- $g^{a'} = (g^a)^r g^{s_1}$
- $g^{b'} = (g^b) g^{s_2}$
- $g^{c'} = (g^c)^r (g^a)^{rs_2} (g^b)^{s_1} g^{s_1 s_2}$

...Worst to average

If $c=ab +e \pmod Q$ then

- $a'=ra + s_1 \pmod Q$
- $b'=b + s_2 \pmod Q$
- $c' = a'b'+ e r \pmod Q$

- Always: a' and b' are uniformly distributed.
- If $e =0$, then $c' = a'b'$. Otherwise c' is uniform and independent in $[Q]$

Evidence to Validity of DDH

- Endured extensive research for DH search
 - DH-search related to discrete log
- Hard for generic algorithms
 - that work in a **black-box** group
- Computing the most significant bits of g^{ab} is hard
- Random-self-reducibility

EI-Gamal Cryptosystem:

- Private key $a \in_R [Q]$
- Public key $Y=g^a$ and P, Q
- To encrypt M
 - choose $r \in_R [Q]$ compute $X=g^r$ and Y^r
 - send $\langle X, Y^r \cdot M \rangle$
- To decrypt $\langle X, W \rangle$:
 - compute $X^a = Y^r$ and
 - output W / X^a

Semantic security of El Gamal encryption

- Semantic security = indistinguishability of encryptions = indistinguishability of an encryption of M from an encryption of a random element
- Suppose that an adversary can
 - Choose M
 - Receive either an encryption of X ($\langle g^r, Y^r \cdot M \rangle$) or an encryption of a random element ($\langle g^r, Y^r \cdot R \rangle$), and distinguish between these cases.
- Then we can use the adversary to break the DDH
 - We are given g^a and g^b and g^c (where g^c is either g^{ab} or random)
 - Define the public key as $Y = g^a$
 - The adversary chooses M
 - We send it $(g^b, g^c \cdot M)$

EI-Gamal Security

Under the **DDH assumption** the cryptosystem is *semantically secure* against chosen *plaintext* attacks but...

- Scheme is malleable
 - To change M to $M'=M \cdot C$:
change $\langle X, W \rangle$ to $\langle X, W \cdot C \rangle$
- Therefore the scheme is insecure against chosen ciphertext attacks
 - Given an encryption of M , change it to an encryption of M' and ask to see its decryption.
 - Why is this important?

Security against chosen-ciphertext attacks

- Adversary can ask to receive decryptions of messages of his choice
- Adversary chooses two messages m_0, m_1 (possibly based on the answers he previously received)
- Adversary is given an encryption $E(m_b)$, where $b \in_R \{0, 1\}$
- Adversary can issue further decryption queries
- Adversary guesses b

- Adversary succeeds if its probability of guessing b correctly is not negligibly close to $\frac{1}{2}$

The Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem

- Cramer and Shoup suggested (in 1998) an encryption scheme which is practical and provably secure against chosen ciphertext attacks
- Security is based on the DDH assumption
- The overhead is only a few exponentiations
- The basic idea:
 - Add redundancy to the cryptosystem.
 - A ciphertext with the right redundancy is “valid”. Otherwise it is invalid.
 - Decryption is only performed for valid ciphertexts.

Non-adaptive chosen ciphertext security, aka security against lunch-time (or preprocessing) attacks

- Adversary can ask to receive decryptions of messages of his choice
- Adversary chooses two messages m_0, m_1 (possibly based on the answers he previously received)
- Adversary is given an encryption $E(m_b)$, where $b \in_R \{0, 1\}$
- ~~Adversary can issue further decryption queries~~
- Adversary guesses b

- Adversary succeeds if its probability of guessing b correctly is not negligibly close to $\frac{1}{2}$

Cramer-Shoup “Lite”

- A simplification of the Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem, which is only secure against non-adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks.

Cramer-Shoup “Lite”

- Setup:
 - A subgroup G of order q , with generators g_1, g_2
- Key generation:
 - $x, y, a, b \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_q$
 - $h = (g_1)^x \cdot (g_2)^y \quad c = (g_1)^a \cdot (g_2)^b$
 - Public key = $\langle g_1, g_2, h, c \rangle$
 - Private key = $\langle x, y, a, b \rangle$
- Encryption of m :
 - $r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_q$
 - Ciphertext is $\langle g_1^r, g_2^r, h^r \cdot m, c^r \rangle$
- Decryption of $\langle u, v, e, w \rangle$:
 - If $(w = u^a v^b)$ then output $e / (u^x v^y)$, otherwise no output.

Correctness?

Overhead?

Security proof (against non-adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks)

- Assume that A attacks the cryptosystem. We build an A' which breaks the DDH assumption.
- We are given an input to A' and we generate a setting for A to work in. We want the following to hold:
 - If the input to A' is a DDH tuple, then the setting of A is exactly as in the case it is attacking the cryptosystem.
 - If the input to A' is a random tuple, then the setting of A provides it with an encryption of a random element.
 - The queries that A' makes to the decryption oracle do not reveal anything.

Constructing A'

- Our input is (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) , which is either a DDH tuple (of the form g, g^a, g^b, g^{ab} , namely $\log_{g_1}(g_3) = \log_{g_2}(g_4)$), or a random tuple.
 - $x, y, a, b \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_q$
 - $h = (g_1)^x \cdot (g_2)^y$ $c = (g_1)^a \cdot (g_2)^b$
 - Public key = $\langle g_1, g_2, h, c \rangle$
 - Private key = $\langle x, y, a, b \rangle$
 - Answer decryption queries of A, and then receive m_0, m_1 .
 - Choose $s \in_R \{0, 1\}$.
 - Send to A the ciphertext $\langle g_3, g_4, g_3^x g_4^y \cdot m_s, g_3^a g_4^b \rangle$
 - If the response of A is equal to s then output “DDH tuple”, otherwise output “random tuple”

Case 1: The input of A' is a DDH tuple

- THM: If A' receives an input which is a DDH tuple, then the view of A is the same as when it is interacting with a real cryptosystem.
- Corollary: $\Pr(A' \text{ outputs "DDH" } \mid \text{DDH input}) = \Pr(A \text{ succeeds when attacking a real cryptosystem})$
- Proof:
 - The public and secret keys generated by A' are of the right format, and the decryption queries are answered correctly.
 - If the input of A' is a DDH tuple
 - then $\log_{g_1}(g_3) = \log_{g_2}(g_4) = r$
 - and then the ciphertext $\langle g_3, g_4, (g_3)^x(g_4)^y \cdot m_s, (g_3)^a(g_4)^b \rangle$ is of the form $\langle (g_1)^r, (g_2)^r, h^r \cdot m_s, c^r \rangle$, which is the right format.

Case 2: The input of A' is a random tuple

- THM: If A' receives an input which is a random tuple, then (except with negligible probability) A has no information about the bit s chosen by A' .

Namely, $|\Pr(A \text{ guesses } s \mid \text{random tuple}) - \frac{1}{2}|$ is negligible.

- Corollary:
 - $|\Pr(A' \text{ outputs "DDH" } \mid \text{random tuple input}) - \frac{1}{2}| = |\Pr(A \text{ guesses } s \mid \text{random tuple}) - \frac{1}{2}|$, and is negligible
 - $|\Pr(A' \text{ outputs "DDH" } \mid \text{DDH input}) - \Pr(A' \text{ outputs "DDH" } \mid \text{random tuple input})|$
= $|\Pr(A \text{ succeeds when attacking a real cryptosystem}) - \frac{1}{2}|$

Proof of the theorem

- We will prove the theorem for the case of a *computationally unbounded* A
 - Therefore A knows $\gamma = \log_{g_1} g_2$
- Claim 1: With all but negligible prob, all decryption queries (u, v, e, w) s.t. $\log_{g_1} u \neq \log_{g_2} v$, fail.
- Proof:
 - Suppose $u = g_1^r$, $v = g_2^{r'}$, $r \neq r'$.
 - $\forall z, \exists$ a single pair (a, b) , s.t. $w = u^a v^b$, namely $\log_{g_1} w = ar + br' \cdot \gamma$.
 - Therefore, for A the value $u^a v^b$ is uniformly distributed, and its guess of w is rejected with probability $1 - 1/q$.
 - If A performs n queries, they are *all* rejected with prob $1 - n/q$.

Proof of the theorem (contd)

- Claim 2: Assuming all “bad” decryption queries are rejected, A learns no information about x and y .
- Proof:
 - A knows $\gamma = \log_{g_1} g_2$. The public key contains $h = g_1^x g_2^y$, and A therefore learns that $\log_{g_1} h = x + y \cdot \gamma$.
 - Bad (rejected) queries reveal nothing about (x, y) , since the rejection is based on the values of (a, b) alone.
 - For good queries (u, v, e, w) , A learns $e/m = g_1^{rx} g_2^{ry}$. Namely, that $\log_{g_1}(e/m) = xr + yr \cdot \gamma$. (Which is a relation it already knows.)
- Claims 1 + 2 \rightarrow after n queries, with probability $1 - n/q$ it holds that the ciphertext $\langle g_3, g_4, g_3^x g_4^y \cdot m_s, g_3^a g_4^b \rangle$ has $(q - n)$ equal probability options for (x, y) , and therefore for m .
- QED